

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/624,969                       | 07/23/2003     | Stanley Alden Peickert | 6603                    |                  |
| 75                               | 90 07/03/2006  |                        | EXAM                    | INER             |
| Stanley A. Peickert #12512243    |                |                        | GOKHALE, SAMEER K       |                  |
| 2605 State Stree<br>Salem, OR 97 | · <del>-</del> |                        | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| <b>-</b> , <b>-</b>              |                |                        | 2629                    |                  |
|                                  |                |                        | DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.                                       | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/624,969  | PEICKERT, STANLEY ALDEN      |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |
|   |  | Sameer K. Gokhale                                     | 2629                         |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply |   |                              |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 April 2006</u> .   |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐   | nis action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.   |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is .  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 4)  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| • —   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                              |  |  |  |
|   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | ☑ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected.  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.                                 |                              |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |                              |  |  |  |
|   | ·  |   |                              |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | it(s)  |   |                              |  |  |  |
|   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary                                  |                              |  |  |  |
|   | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)        | Paper No(s)/Mail Dail Dail Dail Dail Dail Dail Dail D | Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |
|   | er No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:   |                              |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/624,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

3

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 1-8 have been renumbered 9-16.

2. Claim 16 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claim 16 has not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 9-16 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. Additionally, there are numerous instances where there is insufficient antecedent basis for a limitation in the claim (examples are given below). The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively

Art Unit: 2629

specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited in the previous office action.

5. The examiner points out the following examples of indefinite language used in the claims, and stresses that the errors found were not limited to the below examples.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the covering shell" in lines 17-18 and 22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim and it is also not clear that the covering shell is being claimed.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the finger pads" in line 21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the serial patch cord" in line 25. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the vertical tab and over the vertical shortwall onto the stepledge around the perimeter of the base platform" on lines 2-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for "the vertical tab", "the vertical shortwall", "the stepledge", or "the base platform" in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the serial patch cord" on lines 10-11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,969

Art Unit: 2629

Claim 11, the phrase "for example" on line 5 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 12, the phrase "accompanied by" on lines 1-2 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

Claim 12, the phrase "the needs of the user" on lines 1-2 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by this phrase.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "each activator switch" on line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the user" on line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13 is generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice and contains idiomatic errors. Specifically, "a shape to be precisely determined by consulting with anatomical experts familiar with the cause and prevention of carpal tunnel syndrome" on lines 3-5 renders the claim indefinite.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the thumb operating the X-Y axis rollerball sensor located in the vertical tab..." on lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for "the thumb", "the X-Y rollerball sensor" or "the vertical tab" in the claims.

Claim 15 is generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice and contains idiomatic errors. Specifically, "providing optimum input capability

7

to meet the growing needs of ever more complex computer programs and games software" on lines 5-7 renders the claim indefinite.

Claim 16 is generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice and contains idiomatic errors.

#### Conclusion

6. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,969 Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameer K. Gokhale whose telephone number is (571) 272-5553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SKG June 22, 2006 Sameer Gokhale Examiner Art Unit 2629

AMR A. AWAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
AMR AMAN AWAR